

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/711,259	09/06/2004	Min-Chih Wang	NAUP0574USA	5258
27765	7590 07/27/2005		EXAMINER	
NORTH AMERICA INTERNATIONAL PATENT OFFICE (NAIPC)			LE, DUNG ANH	
P.O. BOX 500 MERRIFIELI	o O, VA 22116		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2818	
		DATE MAILED: 07/27/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/711,259	WANG, MIN-CHIH			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	DUNG A. LE	2818			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1: after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of the period of the period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on					
3) Since this application is in condition for alloward closed in accordance with the practice under E					
Disposition of Claims					
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-17 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed 6) ☐ Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	wn from consideration.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on <u>06 September 2004</u> is/3 Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	are: a) accepted or b) objectorized or b) objectorized accepted or b) objectorized. See tion is required if the drawing(s) is objection is required if the drawing(s) is objection.	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prio application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage			
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary				
 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	Paper No(s)/Mail Di 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate Patent Application (PTO-152)			

DETAILED ACTION

Oath/Declaration

The oath/declaration filed on 9/6/2004 is acceptable.

Specification

The specification has been checked to the extent necessary to determine the presence of all possible minor errors. However, the applicant's cooperation is requested in correcting any errors of which applicant may become aware in the specification.

Claim Rejections

Set of claims 1-8

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless --

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1 and 4-8 are rejected under 35 USC 102 (e) as being anticipated by Lee et al. (6486059 B2).

Lee et al. teach a damascene method (Figs. 2A- 2G) capable of avoiding copper extrusion, the damascene method comprising:

providing a semiconductor wafer including a substrate 30 with at least one metal layer on the substrate 32; depositing a dielectric layer 361 on the metal layer 32; forming a damascene recess structure 50 having an opening exposing a portion of the metal layer 32 in the dielectric layer 361/362; performing a degas step to make gas escape from the dielectric layer (col 2, line 45; col 4, line 14 and col 5, line 80); forming a barrier layer 54 on portions of the exposed surface of the metal layer 32 and on the damascene recess structure 50; and forming a conductive layer 36 on the barrier layer.

Regarding claim 4, a passivation layer 34 is formed between the metal layer and the dielectric layer and wherein the passivation layer is substantially made from silicon nitride (col 3, line 47).

Regarding claims 5-6, the dielectric layer is a laminate compound layer comprising a first low-k dielectric 361, a stop layer 38 over the first low-k dielectric, a second low-k dielectric 362, and a hard mask layer 42/44.

Regarding claim 7, wherein the metal layer is made of copper or tungsten.

Regarding claim 8, the damascene recess structure is a dual damascene recess 50.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for

all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability

shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 2 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103 (a) as being unpatentable over Lee et

al. in view of the following remark.

Lee et al. teach the claimed invention as applied to claim 1, except for the gas

escaping from the dielectric layer fluorine-containing gas.

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the

invention was made to use the dielectric layer is made of fluorine-containing material,

because fluorine or carbon doped silicon dioxide or organic-containing low-k materials

are commonly used to improve the etching rate, since it has been held to be within the

general skill of a worker in the art to select a known material on the basis of its

suitability for the intended use.

Claim 3 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103 (a) as being unpatentable over Lee et

al. in view of Rozbicki et al. (6764940 B1).

Application/Control Number: 10/711,259 Page 5

Art Unit: 2818

Lee et al. teach the claimed invention as applied to claim 1, except for wherein the degas step is an anneal step by heating to a range between 200°C to 300°C.

Rozbicki et al. teach the degas step is an anneal step by heating to a range between 200°C to 300°C. (col 4, lines 55-60).

It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to utilize the degas step is an anneal step by heating to a range between 200°C to 300°C. in Lee 's method, in order to improve an adsorption of the plasma source gas ion onto the dielectric insulating layer surface and minimizing thermal shock due to the plasma process.

Set of claims 9-17

Claims 9, 12-14 and 16-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103 (a) as being unpatentable over Lee et al. in view of Background of Invention.

Application/Control Number: 10/711,259

Art Unit: 2818

Lee et al. teach a damascene method capable of avoiding conductive material extrusion, the damascene method comprising:

providing a substrate (figs. 2A-2G);

forming a dielectric layer 30 having an embedded metal layer 32 therein over the interlayer dielectric;

forming a low-k dielectric film 362 over the dielectric layer 361;

etching a damascene recess structure in the low-k dielectric film, the damascene recess structure 50 communicating the embedded metal layer 32;

executing a degas step to expel gas contained by the low-k dielectric film (col 2, line 45; col 4, line 14 and col 5, line 80);

forming a barrier layer 58 covering surface of the damascene recess structure and surface of the low-k dielectric film; and

depositing a conductive layer 56 over the barrier layer.

Lee et al. do not teach forming a plurality of devices on the substrate; forming an interlayer dielectric to encapsulate the plurality of devices; forming a plurality of conductive plugs in the interlayer dielectric to connect the devices on the substrate;

Background of Invention discloses the step of forming a plurality of devices 14 on the substrate; forming an interlayer dielectric 10 to encapsulate the plurality of devices; forming a plurality of conductive plugs 12 in the interlayer dielectric to connect the devices on the substrate.

Art Unit: 2818

It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to form a plurality of devices on the substrate; forming an interlayer dielectric to encapsulate the plurality of devices; forming a plurality of conductive plugs in the interlayer dielectric to connect the devices on the substrate in Lee's method, in order to electrically connect to another conductive area below.

Regarding claim 12, the conductive layer is a copper layer 56.

Regarding claim 13, between the embedded metal layer 32 and the low-k dielectric layer 361/362, a passivation layer 34 is formed.

Regarding claim 14, the passivation layer 34 is substantially made from silicon nitride.

Regarding claim 16, the low-k dielectric film is a laminate compound layer comprising a first low-k dielectric 361, a stop layer 38 over the first low-k dielectric, a second low-k dielectric 362, and a hard mask layer 42.

Regarding claim 17, wherein the damascene recess structure is a dual damascene recess 50.

Claims 10 and 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103 (a) as being unpatentable over Lee et al. in view of Background of Invention and further in view the following remark.

Lee et al. teach the claimed invention as applied to claim 9, except for the gas escaping from the dielectric layer fluorine-containing gas.

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use the dielectric layer is made of fluorine-containing material, because fluorine or carbon doped silicon dioxide or organic-containing low-k materials are commonly used to improve the etching rate, since it has been held to be within the general skill of a worker in the art to select a known material on the basis of its suitability for the intended use.

Regarding claim 15, Lee et al. in view of Background of Invention disclose the low-k dielectric layer, but fail to disclose wherein the low-k dielectric layer has a dielectric constant (k) that is less than 2.9 as cited in the present claim.

However, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to select the low-k dielectric layer has a dielectric constant (k) that is less than 2.9, since it has been held that where the general conditions of a claim are disclosed in the prior art, discovering the optimum or working ranges involves only routine skill in the art.

Claim 11 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103 (a) as being unpatentable over Lee et al. in view of Background of Invention and further in view of Rozbicki et al. (6764940 B1).

Lee et al. and Background of Invention teaches the claimed invention as applied to claim 9, except for wherein the degas step is an anneal step by heating to a range between 200°C to 300°C.

Rozbicki et al. teach the degas step is an anneal step by heating to a range between 200°C to 300°C. (col 4, lines 55- 60).

It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to utilize the degas step is an anneal step by heating to a range between 200°C to 300°C. in Lee 's method, in order to improve an adsorption of the plasma source gas ion onto the dielectric insulating layer surface and minimizing thermal shock due to the plasma process.

When responding to the office action, Applicants' are advice to provide the examiner with the line numbers and page numbers in the application and/or references cited to assist the examiner to locate the appropriate paragraphs.

A shortened statutory period for response to this action is set to expire 3 (three) months and 0 (zero) day from the day of this letter. Failure to respond within the period for response will cause the application to become abandoned (see M.P.E.P 710.02(b)).

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Dung A. Le whose telephone number is (571) 272-1784. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Tuesday and Thursday 6:00am- 4:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David Nelms can be reached on (571) 272-1787. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 872-9306 for regular communications and (703) 872-9306 for After Final communications.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

> DUNG A. LE Primary Examiner Art Unit 2818